arXiv:1512.00319v1 [math.ST] 1 Dec 2015

The Multiple Filter Test for Change Point Detection in Point Processes with Weakly Dependent Life Times

Michael Messer[1] Kauê M. Costa[2] Jochen Roeper[2]
Gaby Schneider[1]

[1] Institute of Mathematics, Goethe University, Frankfurt (Main), Germany
[2] Institute of Neurophysiology, Goethe University, Frankfurt (Main), Germany

Abstract

Empirical point processes such as neuronal spike trains can show changes in the rate at multiple time scales. In order to test the null hypothesis of constant rate and to estimate the change points, a multiple filter test (MFT) has been proposed by Messer et al. (2014) that uses filtered derivative processes and that can be applied under the assumption of independent life times.

Here we extend the MFT to point processes with ergodic life times associated with short range dependencies. For practical application, we derive local parameter estimators under m-dependence. Our simulations illustrate the necessity of incorporating correlation estimators within the MFT as well as good performance when local parameter estimators are used. We also discuss principles of estimating m in practice, suggesting that it can be preferable to neglect small serial correlations for the sake of variability reduction when using finite analysis windows in practice. Finally, we estimate m and apply the extended MFT to an empirical data set of spike trains that show serial correlations of low order.

1 Introduction

In the analysis of empirical point processes on the line such as for example neuronal spike trains many approaches assume the process parameters to be constant. Therefore, one requires preprocessing steps that identify structural breaks, or change points, in the process parameters such as the mean rate previous to further analysis.

Motivated by the statistical analysis of neuronal spike trains whose lifetime distributions can be highly diverse, we focus here on change points in the rate with the following properties. First, these changes can occur on different time scales. Second, other process parameters such as the variance cannot be assumed to be known in practice. Third, independence of life times can not always be assumed as some spike trains may show short range dependencies (Ratnam and Nelson, 2000; Chacron et al., 2001; Nawrot et al., 2007; Farkhooi et al., 2009).

In order to detect changes in the mean rate (or lifetime), a classical approach is the analysis of moving sum statistics, compare the textbooks of Brodsky and Darkhovsky (1993); Basseville and Nikiforov (1993); Csörgő and Horváth (1997). Change point models usually include (Gaussian) sequences of observations (cmp. e.g., Yao and Davis, 1986; Gombay and Horváth, 1990; Horváth, 1993; Hušková and Slabý, 2001), linear regression models (cmp. e.g., Horváth, 1995; Horváth et al., 2007; Hušková and Kirch, 2012) or autoregressive models (cmp. e.g., Davis et al., 1995; Hušková et al., 2007, 2008). Approaches that

allow for dependencies have been developed by, e.g., Tang and MacNeill (1993); Lavielle (1999); Ray and Tsay (2002); Berkes et al. (2006); Dehling et al. (2013); Kirch and Muhsal (2014). Results that are specifically designed for point processes on the line are provided, e.g., by Kendall and Kendall (1980); Csörgő and Horváth (1987); Steinebach and Zhang (1993); Messer et al. (2014). Several interesting multi scale methods have been proposed recently (Frick et al., 2014; Fryzlewicz, 2014; Messer et al., 2014).

Here we extend the multiple filter test (MFT) proposed in Messer et al. (2014) to respecting weak dependencies in the life times. The MFT was designed for point processes with a wide range of lifetime distributions and uses a filtered derivative approach and an asymptotic scenario that allows to detect change points at multiple time scales by simultaneous application of multiple moving windows. In Messer et al. (2014) independence of life times was assumed. If this assumption does not hold, even short range dependencies may have an impact on the filtered derivative process (see section 4).

In section 2 we briefly discuss the model and summarize the idea of the MFT which is based on process convergence of the filtered derivative. We state general conditions that are sufficient for the application of the MFT. In section 3, we evaluate the scenario of weak dependencies of the life times. In particular, we use point processes with stationary and ergodic life times and introduce local parameter estimators in the case of m-dependence for which we show consistency under the null hypothesis. The main idea is that the filtered derivative under independence uses the variance of the lifetimes ξ_i, $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1)$, as a scaling factor. If serial correlations are non-zero, this term needs to be replaced by

$$\rho^2 := \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_k).$$

Under m-dependence, ρ^2 consists of only $m+1$ summands, which makes it tractable for practical application. Therefore, we use simulations of m-dependent processes in section 4 in order to investigate the empirical performance of the proposed methods in practice. We first illustrate that disrespecting temporal dependencies can yield erroneous results. Second, we develop an algorithm that uses parameter estimators within local windows and show good performance with regard to the number and location of detected change points. In particular, we also illustrate that global estimators in contrast can yield erroneous results especially in the case of change points. Finally, we discuss the practical issue of estimating m. We find that estimation precision of m is less important than estimation precision of ρ^2 because neglecting small summands in ρ^2 can reduce variance and therefore be advantageous (section 4.1.1). Finally, we give a practical example analyzing the dependency structure and the set of change points in a data set of empirical spike train recordings showing weak dependencies.

2 The Filtered derivative approach with Multiple Filters

We write a point process on the positive line as an increasing sequence of events $0 < S_1 < S_2 < \cdots$, or alternatively as the (a.s. positive) life times $\{\xi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$, where $\xi_1 = S_1$ and $\xi_j = S_j - S_{j-1}$ for $j = 2, 3, \ldots$ or by the counting process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$, where $N_t = \max\{j \geq 1 \,|\, S_j \leq t\}$ for $t \geq 0$, with the convention $\max \emptyset := 0$. In practice, we restrict a point process on $[0, \infty)$ to a finite time horizon $[0, T]$, for $T > 0$.

The aim is to construct a test for the null hypothesis that the life times have a constant mean, i.e., that the rate of the process is constant. Formally, for $\mu > 0$, $(\mu_j)_j > 0$ and $T > 0$,

we test the null hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mathbb{E}[\xi_i] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] =: \mu \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N_T \tag{1}$$

against the alternative

$$\begin{aligned} H_A : \text{For } k \in \{1, \dots, N_T\} \, \exists \, c_1, \dots, c_k : \; 1 =: c_0 \leq c_1 < \cdots < c_k \leq c_{k+1} := N_T \\ \text{with } \mathbb{E}[\xi_{c_j}] = \cdots = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{c_{j+1}-1}] =: \mu_j \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_j \neq \mu_{j+1} \quad \text{for all } j = 0, \dots, k. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If the null hypothesis is rejected, we are interested in estimating the change points $c_1, \dots, c_k$. We will particularly assume the life times to show weak dependencies.

Multiple filtering In the filtered derivative approach, one studies the numbers of events, $N_{le} := N_t - N_{t-h}$ and $N_{ri} := N_{t+h} - N_t$ in the left and right windows of size (or bandwidth) $h \in (0, T/2]$ for every $t \in \tau_h := [h, T - h]$. One obtains the filtered derivative process

$$G_{h,t}^{(n)} := \frac{N_{ri}^{(n)} - N_{le}^{(n)}}{\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)}} = \frac{(N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}) - (N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)})}{\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)}}, \tag{3}$$

(for an appropriate estimator $\hat{s}$) for $t \in \tau_h$. Thus, the window size h and the time T grow linearly in n. Under specific conditions on $\hat{s}$ and on the life times that include especially independence, one obtains the following convergence in distribution as $n \to \infty$,

$$\max_{t \in \tau_h} |G_{h,t}^{(n)}| \xrightarrow{d} \max_{t \in \tau_h} |L_{h,t}|, \quad \text{with} \quad L_{h,t} := \left((W_{t+h} - W_t) - (W_t - W_{t-h})\right) / \sqrt{2h}, \tag{4}$$

where $\xrightarrow{d}$ denotes convergence in distribution and W is a standard Brownian motion (cmp. Steinebach and Eastwood (1995) or Messer et al. (2014)). In Condition 2.1 we state a set of general conditions that imply this convergence and that allow for a certain degree of dependence between the life times.

One advantage of this convergence is that, in contrast to approaches associated with extreme value type limits, it allows the simultaneous use of multiple windows in a fixed window set $H := \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$. For $n \to \infty$ one obtains the following convergence for the test statistic across all window sizes

$$\max_{h \in H} \max_{t \in \tau_h} |G_{h,t}^{(n)}| \xrightarrow{d} \max_{h \in H} \max_{t \in \tau_h} |L_{h,t}|. \tag{5}$$

A single point process $(N_t)_t$ on the left yields multiple filtered derivative processes $\{(G_{h,t}^{(n)})_t | h \in H\}$, and in the same way one single Brownian motion $(W_t)_t$ on the right yields multiple limit processes $\{(L_{h,t})_t | h \in H\}$. The distribution of the right hand side can be approximated by Monte Carlo procedures, and the respective quantile yields the rejection threshold for the test of the null hypothesis.

In Condition 2.1 we give general conditions for the applicability of the MF approach in order to prepare for weakly dependent processes.

2.1 General conditions for Multiple Filtering

Condition 2.1. *(Multiple Filter Conditions)*
Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ *be a sequence of square-integrable and a.s. positive random variables with* $\mathbb{E}[\xi_i] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] =: \mu > 0$ *for all* $i \geq 1$.
Condition 1 (FCLT): For $\rho > 0$ *it holds in* $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ *as* $n \to \infty$

$$(X_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} := \left(\frac{1}{\rho\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_i - \mu) \right)_{t\geq 0} \xrightarrow{d} (W_t)_{t\geq 0}. \tag{6}$$

Condition 2 (Consistency): For all $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$ *let* $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2)_{t\in\tau_h} : \{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1} \to D[h, T-h]$ *be estimators (for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*). In* $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ *it holds as* $n \to \infty$

$$\left((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2 / n \right)_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \left(2h\rho^2/\mu^3 \right)_{t\in\tau_h}. \tag{7}$$

Thereby, $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes convergence in probability. The next result states that a sequence of life times together with a sequence of estimators that fulfill Condition 2.1, imply the convergence (4). To that end, let $\mathscr{M}$ denote all pairs $(\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}, \{(((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2)_{t\in\tau_h})_{n\geq 1} | T > 0, h \in (0, T/2]\})$ that satisfy Condition 2.1. These sequences $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ in $\mathscr{M}$ are interpreted as the null model of point processes with a constant rate.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $(\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}, \{(((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2)_{t\in\tau_h})_{n\geq 1} | T > 0, h \in (0, T/2]\}) \in \mathscr{M}$. *Then, for all* $T > 0$ *and* $h \in (0, T/2]$ *it holds as* $n \to \infty$ *that* $\max_t |G_{h,t}^{(n)}| \xrightarrow{d} \max_t |L_{h,t}|$.

Proof: Let $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ denote the set of càdlàg functions endowed with Skorokhod topology. There, we show that $(G_{h,t}^{(n)})_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{d} (L_{h,t})_{t\in\tau_h}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, continuous mapping implies convergence of the temporal maximum as stated.

For $t \geq 0$ let $Z_t^{(n)} := (N_{nt} - {}^{nt}/_{\mu})/(n\sigma^2/\mu^3)^{1/2}$ denote the rescaled counting process. According to Billingsley (1999, Thm. 14.6.) it follows from condition (6) that in $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$ it holds $(Z_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} \xrightarrow{d} (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$, for a standard Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$. We define a continuous map $\varphi_h : (D[0,\infty), d_{SK}) \to (D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ via $f(t) \xmapsto{\varphi_h} ((f(t+h) - f(t)) - (f(t) - f(t-h)))/(2h)^{1/2}$. By continuous mapping it follows in $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ for $n \to \infty$ that $((N_{ri}^{(n)} - N_{le}^{(n)})/(2nh\rho^2/\mu^3)^{1/2})_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{d} (L_{h,t})_{t\in\tau_h}$. Due to the consistency assumption (7), the estimator $\hat{s}$ can be exchanged with the scaling $(2nh\rho^2/\mu^3)^{1/2}$ by Slutsky's theorem. □

A simple example for processes in $\mathscr{M}$ is a renewal process with i.i.d. and a.s. positive and square-integrable life times. Then condition (6) holds (cmp. e.g., Billingsley (1999)). Using $\hat{\mu}^{(n)}$ and $(\hat{\rho}^{(n)})^2$ as the empirical mean and variance of the life times, the family $\left\{ \hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)} := 2nh\hat{\rho}^2/\hat{\mu}^3 \right\}$ satisfies the consistency condition (7). A second example has been studied in Messer et al. (2014). There, the life times were assumed to be independent, but certain changes in the variances of the life times were allowed for. In Messer et al. (2014) also a consistent family of estimators was proposed that only relies on the information within the corresponding windows.

In the following, we extend the class of renewal processes by allowing weak dependencies within the sequence of life times.

3 Multiple Filtering in Case of Weak Dependencies

The main purpose of this paper is to study the multiple filter test (MFT) in case of weakly dependent life times. To that end, we introduce processes with stationary and ergodic life times (PSEs). In particular, such life times are identically distributed, but may exhibit weak dependencies. For the special case of m-dependent sequences, we propose two consistent sequences of estimators, such that the multiple filter conditions (2.1) are fulfilled.

3.1 Point process with Stationary and Ergodic Life Times (PSE)

Let the life times $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be square-integrable and stationary *strictly stationary*, i.e., for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $(\xi_{s_1}, \ldots, \xi_{s_n}) \sim (\xi_{s_1+k}, \ldots, \xi_{s_n+k})$. In addition, the sequence $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is assumed to be *ergodic*. For the definition of ergodicity, see e.g., Klenke (2008). Here we make use of the ergodic theorem, i.e., $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \to \mathbb{E}[\xi_0]$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ (and the same for higher moments in case they exist).

In the scenario of weakly dependent life times, the following class of point processes makes the FCLT (6) to hold true. In the following, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $\mathscr{L}^2$-norm.

Definition 3.1. *(Point processes with stationary and ergodic life times (PSE))*
Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ denote the life times of a point process on the positive line. The process is called a point process with stationary and ergodic life times (PSE), if the sequence $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is strictly stationary, ergodic, square-integrable and a.s. positive and if further holds

$$(i) \qquad \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \|\mathbb{E}[\xi_1 - \mathbb{E}[\xi_1]|\{\xi_k | k \geq i\}]\| < \infty \tag{8}$$

$$(ii) \qquad \rho^2 := \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Cov(\xi_1, \xi_k) > 0. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 3.2. *(FCLT for stationary and ergodic sequences)*
Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be a square-integrable, strictly stationary and ergodic sequence, such that $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \|\mathbb{E}[\xi_1 - \mu|\{\xi_k|k \geq i\}]\| < \infty$. Then, it holds $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1] = \mu$ and the series $\rho^2 := \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Cov(\xi_1, \xi_k)$ converges absolutely. If also $\rho^2 > 0$, then it holds in $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$ that $(X_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} \xrightarrow{d} (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (with $X_t^{(n)}$ and W_t as in (6)).

For a proof see e.g. Billingsley (1999, Thm. 19.1.). In particular, the summation condition (8) implies absolute convergence of the series ρ^2 in (9) (cmp. Billingsley (1999, Proof of Thm. 19.1.)). The covariances in ρ^2 from (9) account for the fact that the variance of $\sum_i \xi_i$ is not only governed by $\mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1)$ as in the i.i.d. case, but also by the covariances $Cov(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Indeed, if the life times are independent, then $\rho^2 = \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1)$ and Theorem 3.2 is the classical result by Donsker.

Note that ergodicity follows from other types of dependence conditions such as *strongly mixing* (or *α-mixing*) (see Billingsley (1999, Proof of Thm 19.2)), which follows from *m-dependence*. Thus, ergodicity allows for the strongest degree of dependence, and Theorem 3.2 applies to all processes with weaker dependencies, such as m-dependent or strongly mixing processes.

3.2 Consistent Parameter Estimation in case of m-dependence

In order to apply the multiple filter technique in the setting of a PSE, we need a consistent sequence of estimators $\hat{s}$, compare condition (7). In particular, we need to show a.s. process convergence $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2/n)_t$ to the constant $(2h\rho^2/\mu^3)_t$. Here we provide estimators for the case of m-dependent life times. First, we discuss estimation based on the entire sample $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N_T}$. Second, we study local estimation for every t, based on the life times in the associated windows.

Global parameter estimation for m-dependence Let the life times $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ of a PSE be m-dependent. Then

$$\rho^2 = \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \mathrm{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_k). \tag{10}$$

In order to estimate ρ and $s_{h,t}$, we use the standard estimators: The mean $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$ is estimated as

$$\hat{\mu} := \hat{\mu}^{(n)} := \frac{1}{N_{nT}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nT}-1} \xi_i,$$

if $N_{nt} \geq 2$ and $\hat{\mu} := 0$ otherwise. For all $k = 1, \ldots, m+1$, the covariance $\mathrm{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_k)$ is estimated as

$$\hat{\rho}_k^2 := (\hat{\rho}_k^{(n)})^2 := \left(\frac{1}{N_{nT}-k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nT}-k} \xi_i \xi_{i+(k-1)} \right) - \hat{\mu}^2,$$

if $N_{nt} \geq k+1$ and $\hat{\rho}_k^2 := 0$ otherwise. Further, let $\hat{\rho}^2 := \hat{\rho}_1^2 + 2\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \hat{\rho}_k^2$ and

$$(\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2 := (\hat{s}^{(n)})^2 := 2nh\hat{\rho}^2/\hat{\mu}^3. \tag{11}$$

The following Lemma states that for an m-dependent PSE and the given estimators, the multiple filter conditions (2.1) are fulfilled:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an m-dependent PSE and $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ as in (11). Then it holds in $(D[h, T-h], d_{\|\cdot\|})$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$ that $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2/n)_{t\in\tau_h} \to (2h\rho^2/\mu^3)_{t\in\tau_h}$, where $d_{\|\cdot\|}$ denotes the supremum norm.*

Proof We show that $\hat{\mu} \to \mu$ a.s. and $\hat{\rho}_k \to \rho_k$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Since $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is m-dependent and square-integrable, the sequence $\{\xi_i \xi_{i+k}\}_{i\geq 1}$ is integrable and $(m+k)$-dependent, thus ergodic. Then, the ergodic theorem states almost surely as $n \to \infty$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] = \mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \xi_{i+k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\xi_1 \xi_k]. \tag{12}$$

Since the life times are a.s. positive and integrable, it follows $N_{nT} \to \infty$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ (cmp. the proof to Lemma A.1. in Messer et al. (2014)). Thus, in (12), the parameter n can be exchanged with the random number of observations N_{nT} (respectively $N_{nT} - k$). Hence, for $n \to \infty$, we find $\hat{\mu} \to \mu$ a.s. and $\hat{\rho}_k \to \rho_k$ a.s., so that the finite sum $\hat{\rho}^2 \to \rho^2$ a.s. By

construction of $(\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2$ the statement holds. □

The disadvantage of this global estimation lies in its performance under the alternative hypothesis in which case the estimation is biased (cmp. section 4.1). We therefore propose a local estimator, where for every point in time t only information from the corresponding windows $(t-h, t+h]$ is used.

Local parameter estimation in case of m-dependence We propose a local family of estimators $\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)}$ in case of an m-dependent PSE and show consistency. For every point in time t, we estimate $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$ and $\mathrm{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_k)$ separately from the information in the left and right window. For the right window, let

$$\hat{\mu}_{ri} := \hat{\mu}_{ri}^{(n)}(h,t) := \frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \xi_i, \tag{13}$$

if $N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} \geq 2$, and $\hat{\mu}_{ri} := 0$ otherwise. For all $k = 1, \ldots, m+1$, let

$$\hat{\rho}_{k,ri}^2 := (\hat{\rho}_{k,ri}^{(n)}(h,t))^2 := \left(\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - k} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}-(k-1)} \xi_i \xi_{i+(k-1)} \right) - \hat{\mu}_{ri}^2, \tag{14}$$

if $N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} \geq k+1$, and $\hat{\rho}_{k,ri}^2 := 0$ otherwise. Let $\hat{\rho}_{ri}^2 := \hat{\rho}_{1,ri}^2 + 2\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \hat{\rho}_{k,ri}^2$, and define the estimators $\hat{\mu}_{le}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{le}^2$ for the left window analogously. Then let

$$(\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2 := \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_{ri}^2}{\hat{\mu}_{ri}^3} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_{le}^2}{\hat{\mu}_{le}^3} \right) nh. \tag{15}$$

For the case of independent life times consistency of this estimator was shown in Messer et al. (2014). Analogously to Lemma 3.3, we show consistency for m-dependent PSEs, which implies applicability of the multiple filtering conditions.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an m-dependent PSE and for all $T > 0$ and $h \in (0, T/2]$ let $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ be defined as in (15). Then it holds in $(D[h, T-h], d_{\|\cdot\|})$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$ that $((\hat{s}_{h,t}^{(n)})^2/n)_{t\in\tau_h} \to (2h\rho^2/\mu^3)_{t\in\tau_h}$.*

Proof We show the uniform a.s. convergence of $(\hat{\mu}_{le})_{t\in\tau_h}$ and $(\hat{\mu}_{ri})_{t\in\tau_h}$ to the constant μ in Lemma 3.6, and the uniform a.s. convergence of $(\hat{\rho}_{le,k}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ and $(\hat{\rho}_{ri,k}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ to the constant ρ_k^2 in Lemma 3.7. This implies the statement, since uniform almost sure convergence interchanges with finite sums in general and with products if the limits are constant. □

In the rest of the section we show the uniform a.s. convergence of the estimators $(\hat{\mu}_{ri})_{t\in\tau_h}$ and $(\hat{\rho}_{ri}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$, respectively $(\hat{\mu}_{le})_{t\in\tau_h}$ and $(\hat{\rho}_{le}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$, see Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, as needed in the latter proof. We start with a uniform a.s. result for the scaled counting process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Throughout, we use the same approach as in Messer et al. (2014): First, we state an almost sure convergence result for the finite dimensional marginals of the processes. This essentially results from the ergodic theorem. Then, by a discretization argument, we show uniform a.s. convergence.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be a PSE with $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1]=\mu$. Let $T>0$, $h\in(0,T/2]$. Then we have in $(D[h,T-h],d_{\|\cdot\|})$ almost surely as $n\to\infty$ that*

$$\left(\frac{N_{nt}-N_{n(t-h)}}{nh/\mu}\right)_{t\in\tau_h}\longrightarrow(1)_{t\in\tau_h}\quad\text{and}\quad\left(\frac{N_{n(t+h)}-N_{nt}}{nh/\mu}\right)_{t\in\tau_h}\longrightarrow(1)_{t\in\tau_h}. \tag{16}$$

Proof: We show the right statement; the left one follows analogously.
For $S_n:=\sum_{i=1}^n\xi_i$ for $n\geq 1$, the ergodic theorem implies $S_n/n\to\mu$ a.s. for $n\to\infty$. As we have $N_t\to\infty$ a.s. as $t\to\infty$, $S_{N_t}/N_t\to\mu$ a.s. as $t\to\infty$. Now, for all $t\geq 0$ we find $S_{N_t}\leq t\leq S_{N_t+1}$, so that (for all t sufficiently large such that $N_t\geq 1$)

$$\frac{S_{N_t}}{N_t}\leq\frac{t}{N_t}\leq\frac{S_{N_t+1}}{N_t+1}\frac{N_t+1}{N_t}.$$

Since the left hand side and the right hand side tend to μ almost surely we obtain $N_t/t\to 1/\mu$ a.s. as $t\to\infty$. For $0\leq s<t$, this implies, as $n\to\infty$, almost surely

$$\frac{N_{nt}-N_{ns}}{n(t-s)}=\frac{t}{t-s}\frac{N_{nt}}{nt}-\frac{s}{t-s}\frac{N_{ns}}{ns}\longrightarrow\frac{t}{t-s}\frac{1}{\mu}-\frac{s}{t-s}\frac{1}{\mu}=\frac{1}{\mu}. \tag{17}$$

This implies the convergence of the finite dimensional marginal of (16). The uniform convergence follows by a discretization argument analogously to the proof of Lemma A.14. in Messer et al. (2014). □

Next, we show the uniform a.s. convergence of the estimators $(\hat\mu_{ri})_{t\in\tau_h}$, $(\hat\mu_{le})_{t\in\tau_h}$, $(\hat\sigma^2_{ri})_{t\in\tau_h}$ and $(\hat\sigma^2_{le})_{t\in\tau_h}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an PSE with $\mu:=\mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$. Let $T>0$, $h\in(0,T/2]$ and further $\hat\mu_{le}$ and $\hat\mu_{ri}$ be defined as in (13). Then it holds in $(D[h,T-h],d_{\|\cdot\|})$ almost surely as $n\to\infty$ that*

$$(\hat\mu_{le})_{t\in\tau_h}\longrightarrow(\mu)_{t\in\tau_h}\quad\text{and}\quad(\hat\mu_{ri})_{t\in\tau_h}\longrightarrow(\mu)_{t\in\tau_h}.$$

Proof: Again we prove the statement only for the right window. The ergodic theorem implies $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^n\xi_i\to\mu$ a.s., such that $(1/N_t)\sum_{i=1}^{N_t}\xi_i\to\mu$ a.s. as $n\to\infty$. Then we conclude for all $0<s<t$ (the case $s=0$ being similar) as $n\to\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N_{nt}-N_{ns}}\sum_{i=N_{ns}+1}^{N_{nt}}\xi_i&=\frac{N_{nt}}{N_{nt}-N_{ns}}\left(\frac{1}{N_{nt}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}}\xi_i-\frac{N_{ns}}{N_{nt}}\frac{1}{N_{ns}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{ns}}\xi_i\right)\\&\longrightarrow\frac{t}{t-s}\left(\mu-\frac{s}{t}\mu\right)=\mu,\quad a.s.,\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

making use of Lemma 3.5. Thus, for every fixed t we obtain almost surely as $n\to\infty$

$$\hat\mu_{ri}=\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)}-N_{nt}-1}\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}}\xi_i\longrightarrow\mu. \tag{19}$$

The a.s. convergence holds for finitely many t simultaneously. As above, the uniform convergence follows by a discretization argument analogously to the proof of Lemma A.15. in Messer et al. (2014). □

Now we show the uniform a.s. convergence of covariance estimators.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an PSE with $\rho_k^2 := Cov(\xi_1, \xi_k)$. Let $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$. Further, let $\hat{\rho}_{le,k}^2$ and $\hat{\rho}_{ri,k}^2$ be defined as in (14) for $k = 1, 2 \dots$ Then in $(D[h, T-h], d_{\|\cdot\|})$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ we have*

$$\left(\hat{\rho}_{le,k}^2\right)_{t\in\tau_h} \longrightarrow (\rho_k^2)_{t\in\tau_h} \quad \textit{and} \qquad (\hat{\rho}_{ri,k}^2)_{t\in\tau_h} \longrightarrow (\rho_k^2)_{t\in\tau_h}.$$

Proof: From the ergodic theorem we conclude $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} \to \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Using $N_{nT} \to \infty$, we find $(1/N_{nt})\sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} \to \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. With a similar argument as in (18), we find for all $0 \leq s < t$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$

$$\frac{1}{N_{nt} - N_{ns} - k} \sum_{i=N_{ns}+2}^{N_{nt}-(k-1)} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} \to \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]. \tag{20}$$

Together with the previous Lemma 3.6 this implies the almost sure convergence $\hat{\rho}_{ri,k}^2 \to \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k] - \mathbb{E}[\xi_1]^2 = \rho_k^2$ for every fixed t and thus for the finite dimensional marginals.

In order to obtain the convergence in $(D[h, T-h], d_{\|\cdot\|})$, we show a.s. as $n \to \infty$ that

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1}\right)_{t\in\tau_h} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]. \tag{21}$$

The convergence of the finite dimensional marginals follow from (20) together with Lemma 3.5 and Slutsky's theorem. We show the uniform convergence (21) even for $t \in [0, T-h]$. It suffices to show almost surely that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T-h]} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k], \\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{t\in[0,T-h]} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} &\geq \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As in the previous proofs, for an $\varepsilon > 0$, we decompose the time interval $[0, nT]$ into equidistant sections of length $n\varepsilon$ and bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\in[0,T-h]} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} \\ &\leq \max_{k=0,1,\dots,\lceil T/\varepsilon\rceil} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{kn\varepsilon}}^{N_{kn\varepsilon+n\lceil h/\varepsilon\rceil\varepsilon}} \xi_i^2 \\ &\leq \max_{k=0,1,\dots,\lceil T/\varepsilon\rceil} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{kn\varepsilon+nh}}^{N_{kn\varepsilon+n\lceil h/\varepsilon\rceil\varepsilon}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1} + \max_{k=0,1,\dots,\lceil T/\varepsilon\rceil} \frac{\mu}{nh} \sum_{i=N_{kn\varepsilon}}^{N_{kn\varepsilon+nh}} \xi_i\xi_{i+k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$ we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\max_{k=0,1,\dots,\lceil T/\varepsilon\rceil}(N_{kn\varepsilon+n\lceil h/\varepsilon\rceil\varepsilon} - N_{kn\varepsilon+nh})/(\delta n/\mu) \to 1$ a.s. for $n \to \infty$. Then, for $n \to \infty$, the first summand in the latter display converges to $(\delta/h)\mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]$ a.s. and the second summand to $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1\xi_k]$ a.s., since convergence (21) holds for

finitely many t. Since δ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we find the first inequality of (22). The second one follows analogously. Thus, the convergence in (21) follows. We then exchange the normalization according to Lemma 3.5. Omitting k summands does not change the limit such that the uniform a.s. convergence of $(\hat{\rho}^2_{ri,k})_{t\in\tau_h}$ is shown. Analogously, the uniform a.s. convergence of $(\hat{\rho}^2_{le,k})_{t\in\tau_h}$ is shown. □

4 Simulations and Data Analysis

In practice, not only μ but both m and ρ^2 are unknown. Therefore we use simulations to discuss practical issues of estimation and its impact on change point detection in the case of m-dependent processes. In this section we consider only cases with a moderate number of change points and short range dependencies, i.e., with small m or where serial correlations decay fast with the lag. For other processes, estimation of m and ρ^2 will be strongly affected by the change points and thus, be hard to estimate. In addition, practical examples (see data analysis in section 4.2 and Ratnam and Nelson (2000); Chacron et al. (2001); Nawrot et al. (2007); Farkhooi et al. (2009)) usually show only short range dependencies, and we therefore consider the present methods helpful for practical purposes.

In the simulations, we will apply the MFT as well as a corresponding algorithm (MFA) that was also proposed in Messer et al. (2014) in order to estimate change points when the null hypothesis was rejected. The MFA first estimates change points in individual windows by successively taking the maxima of G and then integrates change points across windows, eliminating doubly detected change points (see also the articles by Fryzlewicz, 2014; Frick et al., 2014, for similar approaches).

The main message in the present section is that the MFT uses the statistic

$$G_{h,t} = \frac{N_{ri} - N_{le}}{\hat{s}}, \text{ with } \hat{s}^2 \text{ an estimate of } s^2 = \rho^2 \cdot 2h/\mu^3, \tag{23}$$

and $\rho^2 = \sigma^2 + 2\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_\ell)$. Under m-dependence, all covariances of lag $\ell > m$ are zero and their estimation is therefore not necessary. Henceforth, the estimator of s that uses only serial correlations up to lag m is denoted by $\hat{s}_m$, and the corresponding multiple filter test and algorithm using $\hat{s}_m$ are denoted by $\mathrm{MFT}^{(m)}$ and $\mathrm{MFA}^{(m)}$, respectively.

Under the assumption of independent life times, we apply the classic $\mathrm{MFT}^{(0)}$ that uses only an estimate of the variance of lifetimes, $\rho^2 = \sigma^2$. Thus, it disrespects possible serial correlations. In cases with positive correlations, we find $\rho^2 > \sigma^2$ and thus, the number of false positives in the $\mathrm{MFT}^{(0)}$ can be considerably increased, since the scaling $\hat{s}_0$ is spuriously considered too low (Figures 1, 2 A and 3 B). Vice versa, negative serial correlations yield conservative results for the $\mathrm{MFT}^{(0)}$ and a reduced test power (Figure 2 C). As a second implication, global derivation of $\hat{s}$ would use a global estimate of μ, which will usually be biased under the alternative of rate changes and thus, reduce test power or increase the number of false positives (section 4.1). Finally, estimation precision of ρ^2 is relevant for the practical performance of the MFT because a high variance of $\hat{\rho}^2$ can also imply a high probability of over- as well as underestimating ρ^2, whereas the latter increases the number of false positives. Therefore, we discuss principles on the practical estimation of m (section 4.1.1), comparing bias and variance of $\hat{\rho}^2$ for different values of $\hat{m}$. The simulations suggest that the mean squared error of $\hat{\rho}^2$ can be reduced by taking into account only the summands with the largest contributions to ρ^2. In other words: neglecting summands of low correlation

can improve estimation precision. Finally, we give an example of practical application of the proposed algorithms to a data set of experimentally recorded spike trains showing low order serial correlations (section 4.2).

Throughout this section, the life times of an m-dependent process are simulated as

$$\xi_i := a_0 X_i + a_1 X_{i-1} + \ldots + a_m X_{i-m}, \tag{24}$$

with $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ independent with expectation μ and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. This implies $\mathrm{Var}(\xi_i) = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k^2$ and $\mathrm{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_{i-\ell}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} a_k a_{k+\ell}$, i.e.,

$$\rho^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} a_k a_{k+\ell}.$$

Unless otherwise specified, X_i are Gamma distributed. All simulations were performed using $T = 300$ and a window set $H = \{25, 50, 75, 100\}$.



Figure 1: Significance level of classical MFT$^{(0)}$ for positive serial correlations in the life times obtained in 10000 simulations, according to model (24), with $a_k = c^k, c \in \{0.1, 0.25, 0.5\}$, $T = 300, H = \{25, 50, 75, 100\}$. The threshold was chosen such that under independence, the MFT$^{(0)}$ would yield an asymptotic significance level of 5% (indicated by horizontal line). The parameters μ and σ^2 were chosen such that the life times ξ_i had expectation 0.15 and standard deviation 0.15.

4.1 Local estimation of ρ^2

Because the classic MFT$^{(0)}$ can produce erroneous results when applied to dependent life times, we investigate here the performance of the MFT$^{(m)}$ when m is known. To that end, we discuss the estimation of s_m^2 and ρ_m^2 with the standard estimators from section 3.2 for known m. In particular, we propose local estimates $\hat{s}_m^2(t)$ derived within individual analysis windows of the MFT because a global estimation would be biased in case of rate changes.

This effect is illustrated in Figure 3 C and D, where we use a rate profile with two change points at 100 and 200 (panel A). Due to these rate changes, the true value of $s^2(t)$ changes across time (panel C, black) and can be estimated in local windows ($\hat{s}_m^2(t)$, blue). In contrast, when estimating s^2 across the whole process, a mean estimate of μ is used, yielding one value which can be larger or smaller than $s^2(t)$ (magenta). In addition, global estimation of ρ^2 is affected by rate changes, causing additional bias. As a consequence, the MFT$^{(m)}$ using global estimation of s^2 shows a strongly increased number of false positives

in the left section where $s^2(t)$ is underestimated, and a decreased power in the right section where $s^2(t)$ is overestimated. These effects do not occur if $s^2(t)$ is estimated locally (panel E). For individual examples with positively or negatively correlated life times in the case of 1-dependence cmp. also Figure 2 B and D).



Figure 2: Illustration of application of $\text{MFT}^{(0)}$ (above) and $\text{MFT}^{(1)}$ (below) in two simulated 1-dependent processes with (A,B) positive and (C,D) negative serial correlations. True rate profiles indicated in blue, estimated rate profiles in red. For positive correlations, the $\text{MFT}^{(0)}$ shows an increased false positive rate (three detected change points instead of two, upper left); for negative correlations, the $\text{MFT}^{(0)}$ has too low test power (none of the two change points detected, upper right). In both cases, the $\text{MFT}^{(1)}$ detects all correct change points and no false positives (bottom row). Simulations according to model (24), with $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 0.5$ (left) and $a_1 = -0.5$ (right). The parameters for the X_i were chosen such that the life times ξ_i had standard deviation 0.15 and the given rate profile. For positive correlations, X_i were Gamma distributed. In order to ensure a.s. positive life times in the case of negative correlations, X_i were uniformly distributed on an interval chosen such that the life times had the required means and standard deviation.

4.1.1 A note on the estimation of m

While the previous section assumed m to be known, we deal here with the estimation of m. The main idea is that it is most important to include the largest summands into the estimation of ρ^2, while summands with smaller contributions can be neglected. This introduces small bias but can reduce the variance and thus, the mean squared error. In our example the size of the serial correlation decreases exponentially with the lag. This means that if m is large, the summands with large lags show only small contributions to ρ^2 and thus, using only the first $\hat{m} < m$ summands can reduce the mean squared error. Figure 4 shows an example in which for $m = 7$, $\hat{m} = 4$ yields the smallest MSE.

Given the idea that m should rather be under- than overestimated, it becomes clear that m needs to be estimated locally. This is because serial correlations tend to be biased (and typically overestimated) when estimated globally in the case of rate changes. However, local



Figure 3: Number of detected change points in 1000 simulations under the alternative with rate profile given in A. B. $\text{MFA}^{(0)}$ without accounting for serial correlations. C. True value of local s (black) compared with local estimation (blue) and global estimation (magenta). D. $\text{MFA}^{(m)}$ with global estimate of s. E. $\text{MFA}^{(m)}$ with estimates of s derived separately in every analysis window. Simulations according to model (24), with $a_k = 0.5^k$, $T = 300, H = \{25, 50, 75, 100\}, \sigma = 0.15, m = 3, c = 0.5$.



Figure 4: Estimation errors of $\hat{\rho}^2$ for different values of m. Simulations according to model (24), with $a_k = 0.5^k$, $T = 300, \mu = 0.15, \sigma = 0.15, m = 7$.

estimates of m in individual windows would show high variability. Therefore, we propose to estimate m by splitting up the process into disjoint sections. In each section, serial correlations up to a maximal assumed lag m_{max} are calculated, and systematic deviations from zero are investigated. These sections should be long enough to provide good estimates of serial correlations up to lag m_{max}. At the same time, they should be small enough so that most windows remain unaffected by potential change points. An example of the estimation of m in practical application can be found in section 4.2.

4.2 Application to spike train recordings

We illustrate the application of the proposed methods to an experimental data set of spike trains obtained from spontaneous activity recordings of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area of anaesthetized mice, as described previously (Schiemann et al., 2012; Subramaniam et al., 2014). The data set contained 44 spike trains of length 600 seconds, with a mean rate of about 4 spikes per second. The set of analysis windows was chosen as $H = \{50, 75, 100\}$ seconds. Dependencies were always short ranged (Figure 5 A,B). In order to take into account potential change points in the rate, we used disjoint windows of 50 lifetimes to estimate serial correlations (grey points in panel A), and estimated m as the maximal lag for which deviations from zero were significant on the 5%-level using a Wilcoxon test (see panel A, $\hat{m} = 2$). Across all spike trains, the corresponding estimates of serial correlations up to $\hat{m}_i$ are shown in panel B. Most serial correlations were of small orders, $\hat{m} \leq 3$, and tended to be negative. Consequently, the MFA$^{(\hat{m})}$ usually detected more change points than the MFA$^{(0)}$ (panel C), leading also to rate profiles matching better with visual inspection (panel D).

5 Discussion

In this paper the authors have extended the multiple filter test (MFT) for rate change detection at different time scales in point processes introduced in Messer et al. (2014). While the latter work assumed the underlying point processes to obey independent increments, the main focus of this work is the incorporation of short range dependencies into the MFT as are sometimes observed e.g. in spike train recordings, and to study the performance in practical application.



Figure 5: Application of the MFA to a data set with weak dependencies and estimation of m. A. Serial correlations estimated in disjoint windows of 50 lifetimes each (grey), and medians (black). Red line indicates cutoff value for estimation of m for the respective spike train. B. Serial correlations are short and typically negative. Median serial correlations derived as in A for all spike trains, plotted up to the respective estimate of m for every spike train. C. Number of change points detected by $\text{MFA}^{(\hat{m})}$ is usually higher than the one detected by $\text{MFA}^{(0)}$. D. A rate profile of a sample spike train (grey), and the rate profile estimated by the $\text{MFA}^{(0)}$ (red) and the $\text{MFA}^{(\hat{m})}$ (blue).

Here we have stated a general framework for the conditions on the life time distributions (2.1), which allow for the application of the MFT. With regard to dependent life times, stationary and ergodic sequences were shown to be appropriate. These assumptions differ from those in Messer et al. (2014) where a certain variability in the variance is explicitly allowed under independence of lifetimes. The set of point processes used here is therefore not a superset of the processes from Messer et al. (2014), and allowing both, dependent and non-identical distributed life times, is tough in the general setting. However, in more specific classes of processes like e.g., GARCH-models that allow a certain degree of heteroscedasticity, it is generally possible to maintain the multiple filter conditions (cmp., e.g., Bougerol and Picard (1992); Berkes et al. (2008) for stationarity, ergodicity and FCLTs of the GARCH models and e.g. Berkes et al. (2005); Kirch and Muhsal (2014) for consistency of the (tapered) Bartlett-estimator).

Here we also proposed local parameter estimators for s for which consistency was shown for the case of m-dependence. The focus on m-dependence was motivated by the conditions and requirements in empirical data analysis. For the general case of ergodicity, approaches to derive consistent estimators are also available (cmp. Berkes et al., 2005; Wu and Pourahmadi, 2009; Xiao and Wu, 2012; Kirch and Muhsal, 2014). However, in the light of practical application with finite numbers of events in the windows, m-dependence is considered sufficient

here. Even under m dependence, large values of m require large windows for the estimation of s. But as $\hat{s}$ is affected by potential change points within these windows, the distances between change points would need to grow with m. Therefore, the simulations performed here focus on the setting that we have encountered in empirical spike train analysis, showing relatively many change points and small values of m.

Our simulations illustrated the necessity of incorporating correlation estimators within the MFT as well as good performance when local parameter estimators were used within the multiple filter algorithm (MFA). In contrast, global estimation could result in poor performance particularly in processes with multiple change points even if m was known.

When m needs to be estimated, which is usually necessary in practice, it can crucially affect the estimation precision of s. We propose using local windows to reduce potential bias that might result from change points. The use of different window sizes can help to identify when change points are distorting the estimates. Only if serial correlations remain unchanged for a wide range of windows, estimation of m can be considered practicable. In addition, our simulations suggest that due to high estimation errors in the summands of s^2, it can be preferable to incorporate only those summands with large contributions to s, neglecting smaller summands for the sake of variability reduction, which in our setting amounts to a slight underestimation of m.

Finally, we applied the proposed procedures to a data set of empirical spike trains. For all spike trains, serial correlations of small orders were estimated by using small windows to account for potential bias caused by rate changes. In the typical case of negative serial correlations, the $\text{MFT}^{(\hat{m})}$ detected more change points than the $\text{MFT}^{(0)}$, yielding rate profiles matching better with visual inspection. Again, this suggests that correlations of small order can affect the performance of the MFT, implying the necessity to incorporate serial dependencies in the test statistic. For the present example of neuronal spike train analysis with short range dependencies and relatively variable rate profile, we therefore believe the present method to be helpful in practice.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, Funding number: 01ZX1404B) and by the Priority Program 1665 of the DFG. We thank Götz Kersting for helpful comments on weak convergence principles.

References

Basseville, M. and Nikiforov, I. V. (1993). *Detection of abrupt changes: theory and application*. Prentice Hall Information and System Sciences Series. Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Berkes, I., Hörmann, S., and Horváth, L. (2008). The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 78(16):2725–2730.

Berkes, I., Horváth, L., Kokoszka, P., and Shao, Q.-M. (2005). Almost sure convergence of the Bartlett estimator. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 51(1):11–25.

Berkes, I., Horváth, L., Kokoszka, P., and Shao, Q.-M. (2006). On discriminating between long-range dependence and changes in mean. *Ann. Statist.*, 34(3):1140–1165.

Billingsley, P. (1999). *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

Bougerol, P. and Picard, N. (1992). Stationarity of GARCH processes and of some nonnegative time series. *J. Econometrics*, 52(1-2):115–127.

Brodsky, B. E. and Darkhovsky, B. S. (1993). *Nonparametric methods in change-point problems*, volume 243 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht.

Chacron, M. J., Longtin, A., and Maler, L. (2001). Negative interspike interval correlations increase the neuronal capacity for encoding time-dependent stimuli. *J. Neurosci.*, 21(14):5328–5343.

Csörgő, M. and Horváth, L. (1987). Asymptotic distributions of pontograms. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 101(1):131–139.

Csörgő, M. and Horváth, L. (1997). *Limit theorems in change-point analysis*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester. With a foreword by David Kendall.

Davis, R. A., Huang, D. W., and Yao, Y.-C. (1995). Testing for a change in the parameter values and order of an autoregressive model. *Ann. Statist.*, 23(1):282–304.

Dehling, H., Rooch, A., and Taqqu, M. S. (2013). Non-parametric change-point tests for long-range dependent data. *Scand. J. Stat.*, 40(1):153–173.

Farkhooi, F., Strube-Bloss, M., and Nawrot, M. (2009). Serial correlation in neural spike trains: Experimental evidence, stochastic modeling, and single neuron variability. *Phys. Rev. E Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.*, 79(2 Pt 1):021905.

Frick, K., Munk, A., and Sieling, H. (2014). Multiscale change point inference. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 76(3):495–580.

Fryzlewicz, P. (2014). Wild binary segmentation for multiple change-point detection. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(6):2243–2281.

Gombay, E. and Horváth, L. (1990). Asymptotic distributions of maximum likelihood tests for change in the mean. *Biometrika*, 77(2):411–414.

Horváth, L. (1993). The maximum likelihood method for testing changes in the parameters of normal observations. *Ann. Statist.*, 21(2):671–680.

Horváth, L. (1995). Detecting changes in linear regressions. *Statistics*, 26(3):189–208.

Horváth, L., Kokoszka, P., and Steinebach, J. (2007). On sequential detection of parameter changes in linear regression. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 77(9):885–895.

Hušková, M. and Kirch, C. (2012). Bootstrapping sequential change-point tests for linear regression. *Metrika*, 75(5):673–708.

Hušková, M., Kirch, C., Prášková, Z., and Steinebach, J. (2008). On the detection of changes in autoregressive time series. II. Resampling procedures. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 138(6):1697–1721.

Hušková, M., Prášková, Z., and Steinebach, J. (2007). On the detection of changes in autoregressive time series. I. Asymptotics. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 137(4):1243–1259.

Hušková, M. and Slabý, A. (2001). Permutation tests for multiple changes. *Kybernetika (Prague)*, 37(5):605–622.

Kendall, D. G. and Kendall, W. S. (1980). Alignments in two-dimensional random sets of points. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 12(2):380–424.

Kirch, C. and Muhsal, B. (2014). A MOSUM procedure for the estimation of multiple random change points. *Preprint*.

Klenke, A. (2008). *Probability theory*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London. A comprehensive course, Translated from the 2006 German original.

Lavielle, M. (1999). Detection of multiple changes in a sequence of dependent variables. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(1):79–102.

Messer, M., Kirchner, M., Schiemann, J., Roeper, J., Neininger, R., and Schneider, G. (2014). A multiple filter test for the detection of rate changes in renewal processes with varying variance. *Ann. Appl. Stat.*, 8(4):2027–2067.

Nawrot, M. P., Boucsein, C., Rodriguez-Molina, V., Aertsen, A., Grün, S., and Rotter, S. (2007). Serial interval statistics of spontaneous activity in cortical neurons in vivo and in vitro. *Neurocomputing*, 70(10):1717–1722.

Ratnam, R. and Nelson, M. E. (2000). Nonrenewal statistics of electrosensory afferent spike trains: implications for the detection of weak sensory signals. *J. Neurosci.*, 20(17):6672–6683.

Ray, B. K. and Tsay, R. S. (2002). Bayesian methods for change-point detection in long-range dependent processes. *J. Time Ser. Anal.*, 23(6):687–705.

Schiemann, J., Klose, V., Schlaudraff, F., Bingmer, M., Seino, S., Magill, P. J., Schneider, G., Liss, B., and Roeper, J. (2012). K-atp channels control in vivo burst firing of dopamine neurons in the medial substantia nigra and novelty-induced behavior. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 15(9):1272–1280.

Steinebach, J. and Eastwood, V. R. (1995). On extreme value asymptotics for increments of renewal processes. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 45(1-2):301–312. Extreme value theory and applications (Villeneuve d'Ascq, 1992).

Steinebach, J. and Zhang, H. Q. (1993). On a weighted embedding for pontograms. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 47(2):183–195.

Subramaniam, M., Althof, D., Gispert, S., Schwenk, J., Auburger, G., Kulik, A., Fakler, B., and Roeper, J. (2014). Mutant α-synuclein enhances firing frequencies in dopamine substantia nigra neurons by oxidative impairment of a-type potassium channels. *J. Neurosci.*, 34(41):13586–99.

Tang, S. M. and MacNeill, I. B. (1993). The effect of serial correlation on tests for parameter change at unknown time. *Ann. Statist.*, 21(1):552–575.

Wu, W. B. and Pourahmadi, M. (2009). Banding sample autocovariance matrices of stationary processes. *Statist. Sinica*, 19(4):1755–1768.

Xiao, H. and Wu, W. B. (2012). Covariance matrix estimation for stationary time series. *Ann. Statist.*, 40(1):466–493.

Yao, Y.-C. and Davis, R. A. (1986). The asymptotic behavior of the likelihood ratio statistic for testing a shift in mean in a sequence of independent normal variates. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 48(3):339–353.